

Strengthening Policy Implementation: A Practice Model for 72-Hour Assessments of Involuntary Mental Health Care Users



Ontlotlile Innocentia Mpheng^{1,*} , Leepile Alfred Sehularo² , Miriam Mamphamo Moagi³ 
and Gaotswake Patience Kovane¹ 

¹North-West University, NuMIQ Research Focus Area, Faculty of Health Sciences, Potchefstroom, South Africa

²North-West University, Lifestyle Diseases Research Focus Area, Faculty of Health Sciences, Mahikeng, South Africa

³University of Limpopo, Nursing Department, Sovenga, Polokwane, South Africa

© 2025 The Author(s). Published by Bentham Open.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode>. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.



*Address correspondence to this author at the North-West University, NuMIQ Research Focus Area, Faculty of Health Sciences, Potchefstroom, South Africa; Tel: 0784995633; E-mail: ontlotlilempheng@gmail.com

Published: October 09, 2025

Cite as: Mpheng O, Sehularo L, Moagi M, Kovane G. Strengthening Policy Implementation: A Practice Model for 72-Hour Assessments of Involuntary Mental Health Care Users. Open Public Health J, 2025; 18: e18749445411061. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/0118749445411061251006065042>



Send Orders for Reprints to reprints@benthamscience.net

Published manuscript title: Mental health care practitioners' understanding of the policy guideline on 72-

hour assessment. Available at: Curationis. 2025 Apr 21;48(1):e1-e12. doi: 10.4102/curationis.v48i1.2660.

Table 1. Demographic profile of empirical phase participants [Menta Health Care Practitioners (MHCPs)].

Demographic details of participants			
FGD 1- NCP MHCPs Participants			
Participant	Age in years	Occupation in MHCPs	Gender
Participant A	32	Medical Doctor	M
Participant B	32	Professional Nurse	F
Participant C	52	Professional Nurse	F
Participant D	33	Social Worker	F
Participant E	36	Professional Nurse	F
Participant F	29	Professional Nurse	F
Participant G	53	Medical Doctor	M
FGD 2- NWP MHCPs Participants			
Participant A	46	Professional Nurse	F
Participant B	46	Professional Nurse	F
Participant C	40	Clinical Psychologist	F
Participant D	46	Clinical Psychologist	F
Participant E	44	Medical Doctor	F
Participant F	54	Medical Doctor	M
FGD 3- GP MHCPs Participants			
Participant A	46	Professional Nurse	F
Participant B	53	Professional Nurse	F
Participant C	59	Professional Nurse	F

Demographic details of participants			
FGD 1- NCP MHCPs Participants			
Participant	Age in years	Occupation in MHCPs	Gender
Participant D	37	Clinical Psychologist	F
Participant E	33	Clinical Psychologist	F
Participant F	29	Medical Doctor	F

Total Participants- 19 MHCPs.

Published manuscript title: Mental health review board members' understanding of the policy guideline on 72-hour assessment. Available at: Curationis 47(1), a2662. <https://doi.org/10.4102/curationis.v47i1.2662>.

Table 2. Demographic characteristics of empirical phase participants.
Thirteen MHRB members (Tablet 1) participated in the three FGDs of this study. The participants comprised of; six MHCPs, four Community Representatives (CR), and three Legal Representatives (LR).

Demographic details of participants			
FGD 1- NWP 06 MHRB members Participated			
Participant	Age in years	Occupation in MHRB	Gender
Participant A	60	MHCP	M
Participant B	47	CR	M
Participant C	62	CR	M
Participant D	43	LR	M
Participant E	71	MHCP	F
Participant F	77	LR	M
FGD 2- NCP 03 04 MHRB members Participated			
Participant A	63	MHCP	F
Participant B	71	MHCP	F
Participant C	57	CR	F
Participant D	57	CR	M
FGD 3- GP 03 MHRB members Participated			
Participant M	57	LR	F
Participant N	56	MHCP	F
Participant P	79	MHCP	F

Total Participants- 13 MHRB members.

DISCLAIMER: The above article has been published, as is, ahead-of-print, to provide early visibility but is not the final version. Major publication processes like copyediting, proofing, typesetting and further review are still to be done and may lead to changes in the final published version, if it is eventually published. All legal disclaimers that apply to the final published article also apply to this ahead-of-print version.